

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
Results of Operations

For the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

August 7, 2013

INTRODUCTION

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (this "MD&A") is dated August 7, 2013. It should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for Wi-LAN Inc. for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 (the "Financial Statements"). References in this MD&A to "WiLAN", "our company", "we", "us" and "our" refer to Wi-LAN Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries during the periods presented unless the context requires otherwise. The Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP" or "GAAP") for interim financial information. These Financial Statements do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. Accordingly, this MD&A should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the related management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations for our year ended December 31, 2012 dated March 7, 2013 (the "Annual MD&A"), each as filed with the Canadian securities regulators on SEDAR and with the SEC on Form 40-F on EDGAR.

Unless otherwise indicated, all financial information in this MD&A is reported in thousands of United States dollars ("U.S. dollars"), with the exception of share and earnings per share data which is reported in number of shares and U.S. dollars respectively. The tables and charts included in this document form an integral part of this MD&A.

We prepared this MD&A with reference to National Instrument 51-102 - Continuous Disclosure Obligations of the Canadian Securities Administrators. Under the U.S./Canada Multijurisdictional Disclosure System, we are permitted to prepare this MD&A in accordance with Canadian disclosure requirements which may differ from U.S. disclosure requirements. This MD&A provides information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and up to and including August 7, 2013. Additional information filed by us with the Canadian Securities Administrators, including quarterly reports, annual reports and our Annual Information Form ("AIF") for the year ended December 31, 2012, is available on-line at www.sedar.com and also on our website at www.WiLAN.com. Our Form 40-F can be found on the SEC's EDGAR website at www.sec.gov.

Our management is responsible for establishing appropriate information systems, procedures and controls to ensure that all financial information disclosed externally, including this MD&A, and used internally by us, is complete and reliable. These procedures include the review and approval of our financial statements and associated information, including this MD&A, first by our management's Disclosure Committee, then by our Board of Directors' Audit Committee (the "Audit Committee") and, finally, by our Board of Directors as a whole (the "Board").

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and other applicable United States and Canadian securities laws, including such statements relating to:

- assumptions and expectations described in our critical accounting policies and estimates;
- our expectation regarding the adoption and impact of certain accounting pronouncements;
- our expectation regarding the growth rates of licensees' businesses and the expected revenues to be collected from such licensees;
- our expectations with respect to revenues to be recorded as a consequence of license agreements with fixed periodic payment structures;
- our expectations with respect to the timing and amounts of any license agreements that may be entered into with respect to any of our litigation matters;
- our expectations with respect to our ability to sign new licenses and to sign renewal agreements with existing licensees;
- our estimates regarding our effective tax rate;

- our expectations with respect to the sufficiency of our financial resources; and
- our expectations regarding continued expansion of our patent portfolio through the acquisition of patents from third parties and from the development of new inventions or our entry into licensing relationships with third parties.

The words “expect”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “may”, “will”, “should”, “intend”, “believe”, “plan”, “continue”, “anticipate”, “project” or the negative of these words or other variations on these words, comparable terms and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements and forward-looking information. Forward-looking statements and forward-looking information are based on estimates and assumptions made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors that we believe are appropriate in the circumstances.

We provide forward-looking statements and forward-looking information to assist external stakeholders in understanding our management’s expectations and plans relating to the future as of the date of this MD&A and such statements and information may not be appropriate for any other purposes. The forward-looking statements and forward-looking information in this MD&A are made as of the date of this MD&A only. We have no intention and undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements or forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Many factors could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements and forward-looking information which are discussed in greater detail under the heading “Risk Factors” in our AIF for the year ended December 31, 2012. Readers are urged to review these risk factors in detail.

NON-GAAP DISCLOSURE

We use the term “adjusted earnings” to reference earnings from continuing operations before stock-based compensation expense, depreciation & amortization expense, interest expense, unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses, restructuring charges, incentive buy-out, success fee, transaction costs, investment income, debenture financing costs, provision for income taxes, and certain other charges. We report adjusted earnings in the belief that it may be useful for certain investors and readers of the financial statements as a measure of our performance. **ADJUSTED EARNINGS IS NOT A MEASURE OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE UNDER U.S. GAAP. IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY STANDARDIZED MEANING PRESCRIBED BY U.S. GAAP AND IS THEREFORE UNLIKELY TO BE COMPARABLE TO SIMILARLY TITLED MEASURES USED BY OTHER COMPANIES. ADJUSTED EARNINGS SHOULD NOT BE INTERPRETED AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO NET EARNINGS AND CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATIONS AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH U.S. GAAP OR AS A MEASURE OF LIQUIDITY.**

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Tables are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, except share and per share amounts

	Three months ended June 30, 2013		Three months ended June 30, 2012		Six months ended June 30, 2013		Six months ended June 30, 2012	
Revenue								
Royalties	\$	19,941 100%	\$	20,791 100%	\$	38,310 100%	\$	45,484 100%
Operating expenses								
Cost of revenue		22,479 113%		12,861 62%		42,240 110%		24,164 53%
Research and development		2,130 11%		1,980 10%		4,281 11%		4,751 10%
Marketing, general and administrative		3,867 19%		3,345 16%		6,796 18%		6,505 14%
Realized foreign exchange loss (gain)		99 0%		(26) (0%)		188 0%		(20) (0%)
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain)		1,167 6%		1,105 5%		2,111 6%		(4,271) (9%)
Restructuring charges		- 0%		418 2%		- 0%		418 1%
Total operating expenses		29,742 149%		19,683 95%		55,616 145%		31,547 69%
Earnings (loss) from operations		(9,801) (49%)		1,108 5%		(17,306) (45%)		13,937 31%
Investment income		188 1%		182 1%		383 1%		904 2%
Interest expense		- 0%		- 0%		- 0%		(1,126) (2%)
Debtore financing, net		- 0%		- 0%		- 0%		(31,138) (68%)
Loss before income taxes		(9,613) (48%)		1,290 6%		(16,923) (44%)		(17,423) (38%)
Provision for (recovery of) income tax expense								
Current		1,293 6%		697 3%		2,594 7%		1,922 4%
Deferred		(3,274) (16%)		742 4%		(5,451) (14%)		(4,785) (11%)
		(1,981) (10%)		1,439 7%		(2,857) (7%)		(2,863) (6%)
Net loss	\$	(7,632) (38%)	\$	(149) (1%)	\$	(14,066) (37%)	\$	(14,560) (32%)
Loss per share								
Basic	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.12)
Diluted		(0.06)		(0.00)		(0.12)		(0.12)
Weighted average number of common shares								
Basic		121,225,490		121,338,319		121,384,394		121,577,498
Diluted		121,225,490		121,338,319		121,384,394		121,577,498

Consolidated Balance Sheet Information

As at	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 157,817	\$ 175,246
Short-term investments	1,474	1,617
Total assets	339,283	331,236
Long term debt	-	-
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.13

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

Revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$19,941 and \$38,310, respectively representing a decrease of \$850 or 4% and \$7,174 or 16% , respectively over the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in revenues is primarily attributable to the timing of fixed payment amounts as a result of the significant license agreements signed during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 for which certain agreements contained higher fixed payments at the beginning of the license agreement. The decreases in revenues were partially offset by the addition of fixed payment royalty agreements signed during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$29,742 or 149% and \$55,616 or 145% of revenues, respectively representing an increase of \$10,059 or 51% and \$24,069 or 76%, respectively as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in operating expenses is primarily attributable to higher litigation expenses.

Our largest operating expense in each of the presented periods is litigation expense, which is included in cost of revenue. Litigation expense accounted for approximately \$14,582 and \$5,839 or 49% and 30% of total operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$26,782 and \$9,697 or 48% and 31% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our preference is to negotiate licenses without the use of litigation, that is not, however, always possible. Given the number of litigations we are currently involved in, if they all proceed to trial, litigation expenses for fiscal 2013 will increase over fiscal 2012 levels, perhaps materially. Litigation activities, and therefore litigation expenses, are difficult to predict as there are many factors that can influence any action that is commenced.

We recorded a net loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 of \$7,632 or \$0.06 per basic and diluted share and \$14,066 or \$0.12 per basic and diluted share, respectively as compared to a net loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 of \$149 or \$0.00 per basic and diluted share and \$14,560 or \$0.12 per basic and diluted share, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded \$31,138 of debenture financing costs related to the financing we put in place late in 2011 to support our bid to acquire MOSAID Technologies Incorporated. These costs are non-recurring in nature.

We consider adjusted earnings, a non-GAAP measure, to be a good indicator of performance for the business as it more accurately captures financial performance in a given period related to the operations of the business.

The table below reconciles the net loss to the adjusted earnings.

	Three months ended June 30, 2013	Three months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2013	Six months ended June 30, 2012
Net loss under GAAP	\$ (7,632)	\$ (149)	\$ (14,066)	\$ (14,560)
Adjusted for:				
Unrealized foreign exchange (gain) loss	1,167	1,105	2,111	(4,271)
Depreciation and amortization	6,516	6,171	13,219	12,338
Stock based compensation	1,168	876	2,139	1,931
Restructuring and other one time charges	-	418	-	418
Gain of disposal of assets	-	-	(7)	-
Asset write-off related to restructuring	-	209	-	209
Interest expense	-	-	-	1,126
Debenture financing, net	-	-	-	31,138
Income tax expense (recovery)	(1,981)	1,439	(2,857)	(2,863)
Adjusted earnings (loss)	<u>\$ (762)</u>	<u>\$ 10,069</u>	<u>\$ 539</u>	<u>\$ 25,466</u>
Adjusted earnings (loss) per basic share	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.21
Weighted average number of common shares				
Basic	121,225,490	121,338,319	121,384,394	121,577,498

The adjusted loss for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was \$762 as compared to adjusted earnings of \$10,069 for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The adjusted earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$539 as compared to \$25,466 for the same period last year. The decrease in adjusted earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods last year is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenue and an increase in litigation expenses.

Results of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2012

Revenues

Revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$19,941 and \$38,310, respectively representing a decrease of \$850 or 4% and \$7,174 or 16%.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Revenues	\$ 19,941	\$ 20,791	\$ 38,310	\$ 45,484
Decrease from comparative period	(4%)		(16%)	

Revenues can vary significantly from quarter to quarter depending upon the type of royalty arrangement with licensees, the timing of royalty reporting by licensees, the cyclical nature of licensees' markets and other factors. Revenues can fluctuate based on individual licensees' growth and success rates in their respective markets, and other market factors on their respective businesses and other factors outside of our control. Please review the section headed "Risk Factors" in our AIF for more detailed information.

Revenue is comprised as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Royalties	100%	100%	100%	100%
Brokerage	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	100%	100%	100%

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, four and three licensees accounted for more than 10% of revenues from royalties, respectively as compared to two licensees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 the top ten licensees accounted for 85% and 89% of revenues from royalties, respectively as compared to 86% and 82% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue is comprised of patent licensing expenses which includes royalty obligations, cost of patents sold through brokerage activities, employee related costs and other costs incurred in conducting license negotiations as well as litigation expense and amortization related to acquired patents. We also include, as a licensing expense, any costs related to sourcing new patent portfolios or developing new strategic partnerships.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Patent licensing	\$ 1,311	\$ 864	\$ 2,209	\$ 2,156
Litigation	14,582	5,839	26,782	9,697
Amortization of patents	6,323	5,922	12,828	11,851
Stock-based compensation	263	236	421	460
	\$ 22,479	\$ 12,861	\$ 42,240	\$ 24,164
Percent of revenue	113%	62%	110%	53%
Increase from comparative period	75%		75%	

Cost of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$22,479 or 113% of revenues and \$42,240 or 110% of revenue, respectively as compared to \$12,861 or 62% of revenues and \$24,164 or 53% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable to an increase in litigation expense and patent licensing expenses as a result of an increased level of technical consulting services costs in support of litigation and patent acquisition evaluation. The increase in expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable to an increase in litigation and amortization expense. The increase in amortization expenses is as a result of patent acquisitions completed during fiscal 2012. Litigation and amortization expenses are not necessarily variable with revenues. Patent licensing expense predominately represents employee related costs and, therefore, is not directly variable with revenues. In general, patent licensing expenses are

proportional to the breadth and depth of our licensing and brokerage programs and should be expected to increase as we add programs to our business operations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, our core programs have remained consistent with previous years.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, litigation expenses amounted to \$14,582 and \$26,782, respectively as compared to \$5,839 and \$9,697 for the same periods last year. The increase in litigation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is attributable to an increase in the level of litigation activities in comparison to the same periods last year, including preparation for three claims construction hearings, and preparation for multiple trials scheduled to take place in 2013, of which the first trial took place in July 2013. Litigation expenses are expected to vary from period to period due to the variability of litigation activities and are expected to increase significantly in fiscal 2013 given the level of litigation matters that are currently active.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we continued to realize an increased level of litigation activities primarily as a result of actions before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “SDNY Court”), the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida (the “SDFL Court”), the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “NDTX Court”), the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (the “EDTX Court”) and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California (the “SDCAL Court”). The following discloses our principal litigation activities:

On October 5, 2010, WiLAN filed claims against a number of major companies including Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc. (“Alcatel-Lucent”), Ericsson Inc. (“Ericsson”), Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc., HTC Corporation (“HTC”) and LGE in the EDTX Court. The Company has claimed that these companies have infringed and continue to infringe our U.S. patent numbers 6,088,326 (the “326 patent”), 6,195,327, 6,222,819 and 6,381,211 (the “211 patent”) by making and/or selling various products relating to the 3G HSPA standard. This action was settled as against LGE in December 2010. On July 15, 2013, we announced that a trial jury in this matter had determined that the four patents in suit were not infringed and that certain claims in three of the four patents were invalid. WiLAN is currently reviewing its options in this matter with trial counsel.

On February 2, 2011, the Company filed claims against HTC in the EDTX Court (the “HTC Matter”). WiLAN has claimed that HTC has infringed and continues to infringe our U.S. patent numbers 5,282,222 (the “222 patent”) and RE37,802 (the “802 patent”) by making and/or selling various products that use technology derived from these patents. On September 17, 2012, this matter was consolidated with the action we originally filed with the EDTX Court on September 1, 2011 against Apple Inc. (“Apple”) and other parties which is discussed below. A claim construction hearing in the consolidated actions was held on March 21, 2013 and the EDTX Court issued its claims construction order on April 11, 2013. Trial is currently scheduled for October 7, 2013 or soon thereafter.

On September 1, 2011, WiLAN filed claims against Apple, Alcatel-Lucent, Dell Inc., Hewlett-Packard Company, HTC America, Inc., Kyocera International, Inc., Kyocera Communications, Inc., Novatel Wireless, Inc. and Sierra Wireless America, Inc. (“Sierra Wireless”). The Company has claimed that these companies have infringed and continue to infringe the 222 patent and the 802 patent by making and/or selling various products that use technology derived from these patents. On September 17, 2012, this matter was consolidated with the HTC Matter which is discussed above. A claim construction hearing in these consolidated actions was held on March 21, 2013 and the EDTX Court issued its claims construction order on April 11, 2013. The EDTX Court issued an administrative stay until December 8, 2013 with respect to Kyocera International, Inc. and Kyocera Communications, Inc. in this matter. Trial against the remaining defendants is currently scheduled for October 7, 2013 or soon thereafter.

On January 23, 2012, the Company filed claims against Research In Motion Limited and Research In Motion Corporation (collectively, “RIM”) before the SDFL Court. WiLAN has claimed that RIM has infringed and continues to infringe our U.S. patent numbers 5,515,369 (the “369 patent”) and 6,240,088 (the “088 patent”) by making and/or selling various products that use technology derived from these patents. This action has been consolidated with our action filed against RIM on December 12, 2012 that is discussed below. A claim construction hearing in the consolidated action was held on July 26, 2013. Trial in the consolidated action is currently scheduled for March 24, 2014.

On October 1, 2012, WiLAN filed claims in the SDFL Court in separate actions against Alcatel-Lucent and against Ericsson and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (“LME”), claiming infringement of our U.S. patent numbers 8,229,437, 8,027,298 and 8,249,014 related to LTE technologies. The SDFL Court granted Ericsson and LME summary judgment in this matter on June 20, 2013; the Company has appealed the grant of summary judgement to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. A claim construction hearing with respect to Alcatel-Lucent is currently scheduled for August 9, 2013. Trial against Alcatel-Lucent is currently scheduled for April 21, 2014.

On October 1, 2012, the Company filed an action against Hon Hai Precision Industry Co. Ltd (“Hon Hai”) in the State Court of Florida concerning Hon Hai’s failure to report sales and revenues as required under a license agreement signed in January 2008. Although Hon Hai moved to transfer this action to the SDFL Court, upon a motion by WiLAN, the case was remanded to the State Court of Florida and currently continues through the discovery phase. On October 23, 2012, Hon Hai filed an action in the SDNY Court requesting a declaration that Hon Hai does not infringe the 402 patent and that the 402 patent is invalid. WiLAN is defending this action which is continuing through the discovery phase.

On October 3, 2012 and October 15, 2012, WiLAN filed separate actions in the SDFL Court against each of LGE and Toshiba Corporation, respectively, claiming infringement of our U.S. patent numbers 6,359,654 and 7,034,889 related to digital television and display technology. A trial against Toshiba Corporation is currently scheduled for January 13, 2014 and a trial against LGE is currently scheduled for May 5, 2014.

On December 6, 2012, the Company filed separate claims in the SDFL Court against each of Apple, HTC and Sierra Wireless, claiming infringement of our U.S. patent numbers 8,315,640 and 8,311,040 related to LTE technologies, which actions have since been transferred to the SDCAL Court. Claims construction hearings in these matters are currently scheduled for December 9, 2013. Trials in these matters are currently scheduled for November 17, 2014 and thereafter.

On December 6, 2012, WiLAN filed separate claims in the EDTX Court against each of Apple and Sierra Wireless, claiming infringement of our 211 patent related to 3G HSPA handset products. Claims construction hearings in these matters are currently scheduled for May 15, 2014. Trials in these matters are currently scheduled for November 9, 2015.

On December 12, 2012, the Company filed claims in the SDFL Court against RIM, claiming infringement of our U.S. patent number 6,260,168 related to Bluetooth technologies. This action has been consolidated with our action filed against RIM on January 23, 2012 that is discussed above. A claim construction hearing in the consolidated action was held on July 26, 2013. Trial in the consolidated action is currently scheduled for March 24, 2014.

On June 25, 2013, WiLAN filed a claim in the NDTX Court against RIM, claiming infringement of our U.S. patent numbers 8,184,661 and 8,274,991 related to LTE technologies. This action is awaiting the Court to enter a scheduling order.

On June 28, 2013, the Company filed claims in the EDTX Court against each of HTC, HTC America, Inc. and Cellco Partnership doing business as Verizon Wireless, claiming infringement of our U.S. patent number 8,259,688 related to LTE handset products. This action is awaiting the Court to enter a scheduling order.

On November 14, 2012, Ericsson filed a request for ex parte re-examination at the USPTO with respect to our 326 patent. On February 7, 2013, the USPTO granted this re-examination request. On April 30, 2013, the USPTO issued an Office Action in which it found Claims 1-10 of the 326 patent subject to re-examination, Claims 11-15 of the 326 patent are not subject to re-examination, and rejected Claims 1-10 in view of various prior art allegations; WiLAN filed a response to this re-examination on July 30, 2013. We expect this re-examination process to continue for up to another 18 months. The 326 patent remains valid and enforceable unless and until a final contrary determination has been made by the USPTO and all appeal rights have been exhausted.

On January 25, 2013, Research In Motion Corporation filed petitions for inter partes review at the USPTO with respect to each of our 369 patent and 088 patent. On July 29, 2013, the USPTO’s Patent Trial and Appeal Board denied the petition for inter partes review of the 369 patent. With respect to the petition for inter partes review of the 088 patent, the USPTO has granted the review with respect to 2 references out of the 5 references that originally submitted for review by Research In Motion Corporation; our response to this review is due in August 2013. We expect the USPTO’s process to continue for approximately 12 to 24 months. The 088 patent remains valid and enforceable unless and until a final contrary determination has been made by the USPTO and all appeal rights have been exhausted.

We have a success fee obligation which is pursuant to our engagement with a law firm, for which the firm is entitled to a percentage of proceeds actually received from certain license agreements signed by us related to certain litigation matters in which the firm was representing us. Should we collect these amounts as contemplated in the agreements, the firm will be entitled to the entire success fee of \$27,986. As at June 30, 2013, the current and long term portion of this success fee obligation is \$4,157 and \$8,974, respectively. As of June 30, 2013 we have made payments to the firm totaling \$14,855 based on proceeds collected as of March 31, 2013.

Research and development expense (“R&D”)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Research	\$ 443	\$ 998	\$ 968	\$ 2,160
Patent management	1,447	876	2,861	2,117
Depreciation	75	112	149	216
Stock-based compensation	165	(6)	303	258
	<u>\$ 2,130</u>	<u>\$ 1,980</u>	<u>\$ 4,281</u>	<u>\$ 4,751</u>
Percent of revenue	11%	10%	11%	10%
Increase (decrease) from comparative period	8%		(10%)	

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, R&D expenses were \$2,130 or 11% of revenue and \$4,281 or 11% of revenue, respectively as compared to \$1,980 or 10% of revenue and \$4,751 or 10% of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in spending for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable to an increase in patent management costs, principally patent prosecution and maintenance costs, as a result of the increased size and breadth of our patent portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in salary costs related to the research function resulting from the restructuring completed during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Research expense is predominately employee related costs and therefore any changes in spending will be a result of changes to future staffing levels. Many of the patent management costs are directly related to the size and breadth of our patent portfolio and, therefore, as we add patents, these costs would be expected to increase.

Marketing, general and administration expense

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Marketing, general and administration costs	\$ 3,014	\$ 2,353	\$ 5,144	\$ 4,811
Asset write-off related to restructuring	-	209	-	209
Depreciation	118	137	242	272
Stock-based compensation	735	646	1,410	1,213
	<u>\$ 3,867</u>	<u>\$ 3,345</u>	<u>\$ 6,796</u>	<u>\$ 6,505</u>
Percent of revenue	19%	16%	18%	14%
Increase from comparative period	16%		4%	

Marketing, general and administration (“MG&A”) expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, amounted to \$3,867 or 19% of revenues and \$6,796 or 18% of revenues, respectively, as compared to \$3,345 or 16% of revenue and \$6,505 or 14% of revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in spending for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable to an increase in salaries related to increased staffing levels and accrued variable compensation costs related to employee restricted share units and our performance pay plan. The increase in spending for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable an increase in salaries related to increased staffing levels and an increase in public company costs.

MG&A costs will vary from period to period depending on activities and initiatives undertaken and changes in staffing levels in any given period.

Realized foreign exchange gain/loss

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Realized foreign exchange loss (gain)	\$ 99	\$ (26)	\$ 188	\$ (20)
Percent of revenue	0%	(0%)	0%	(0%)
Decrease from comparative period	NM*		NM*	

* NM - percentage is not meaningful as the change is too large

Our realized foreign exchange loss is attributable to unhedged transactions denominated in currencies other than our functional currency, U.S. dollars. The realized foreign exchange loss is a result of the change in exchange rates in effect when foreign denominated transactions are initially recorded and the corresponding settlement.

We cannot accurately predict foreign exchange movements and, as such, cannot accurately predict future gains and losses related to unhedged transactions denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars.

Unrealized foreign exchange gain/loss

	Three months ended		Three months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain)	\$ 1,167	\$ 1,105	\$ 2,111	\$ (4,271)
Percent of revenue	6%	5%	6%	(9%)
Increase from comparative period	6%		149%	

The unrealized foreign exchange loss recognized in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 resulted from the translation of monetary accounts denominated in Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars at June 30, 2013. The change from the same period last year is attributable to the decrease in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar and the level of monetary accounts denominated in Canadian dollars.

In addition to the impact of the translation of our foreign currency denominated monetary balances in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the unrealized foreign exchange loss relates to the foreign exchange contracts we held as at June 30, 2013. From time to time we utilize forward contracts to enhance our ability to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk and exposure to currency rate fluctuations related primarily to future cash outflows of Canadian dollars. The foreign exchange forward contracts require us to sell U.S. dollars for Canadian dollars at prescribed rates.

As at June 30, 2013, we held foreign exchange forward contracts totaling approximately \$23,950 which mature at various dates through to January 2014. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded a loss of approximately \$1,077 related to the foreign exchange forward contracts held as at June 30, 2013.

We cannot accurately predict foreign exchange movements and, as such, cannot accurately predict future gains and losses related to holding assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars.

Investment income

Our recorded investment income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$188 and \$383, respectively, as compared to \$182 and \$904 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Investment income includes interest earned on deposits and short-term investments. The decrease in investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is attributable to a decreased cash position and lower yields on investments.

Debenture financing costs and Interest expense

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Accretion of debt discount	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,175
Foreign exchange loss on debenture retirement	-	-	-	4,217
Amortization of financing costs	-	-	-	1,746
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 31,138</u>
Percent of revenue	0%	0%	0%	68%
Increase from comparative period	0%		NM*	

On January 31, 2012 we repaid in cash the remaining aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debentures issued in 2011 to support our bid to acquire MOSAID Technologies Incorporated and the accrued and unpaid interest and consequently there are no further expenses or costs related to these debentures.

Provision for (recovery of) income taxes

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Current income tax expense	\$ 1,293	\$ 697	\$ 2,594	\$ 1,922
Deferred income tax recovery	(3,274)	742	(5,451)	(4,785)
	<u>\$ (1,981)</u>	<u>\$ 1,439</u>	<u>\$ (2,857)</u>	<u>\$ (2,863)</u>
Current income tax expense % of revenue	6.5%	3.4%	6.8%	4.2%

Income tax recovery for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$1,981 and \$2,857, respectively as compared to an income tax expense of \$1,439 and an income tax recovery of \$2,863 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The provision for income tax is recognized based on our management's best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year. The estimated average annual rate used for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately 26.5%.

The increase in net income tax recovery for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is attributable to an increase in valuation allowance during the three months ended June 30, 2012. There is a valuation allowance of \$9,088 as at June 30, 2013 (December 31, 2012 - \$7,626). We will continue to evaluate our deferred income tax position quarterly and record any adjustment necessary in that period.

We claim R&D expenditures and related investment tax credits based on our interpretation of the applicable legislation in the *Income Tax Act* (Canada). These claims are subject to review by the Canada Revenue Agency. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded non-refundable investment tax credits earned of \$12.

The current income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, consisted primarily of foreign taxes withheld on royalty revenues received from licensees in foreign tax jurisdictions for which there is no treaty relief. Withholding tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was 6.5% of revenue and 6.8% of revenue, respectively. The increase in withholding tax expense as a percentage of revenue is attributable to the increase in revenue from jurisdictions for which there is no tax treaty relief.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY RESULTS

(Unaudited)

Thousands of U.S. dollars except per share amounts	Three months ended June 30, 2013	Three months ended March 31, 2013	Three months ended December 31, 2012	Three months ended September 30, 2012
Revenues	\$ 19,941	\$ 18,369	\$ 21,183	\$ 21,293
Adjusted earnings	\$ (762)	\$ 1,301	\$ 7,036	\$ 9,260
Adjusted earnings per share				
Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.08
Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.08
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (7,632)	\$ (6,434)	\$ (2,119)	\$ 2,159
Net earnings (loss) per share				
Basic	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.02
Diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.02
Weighted average number of common shares				
Basic	121,225,490	121,545,062	121,429,318	121,225,793
Diluted	121,225,490	122,166,911	122,417,211	122,086,343

Thousands of U.S. dollars except per share amounts	Three months ended June 30, 2012	Three months ended March 31, 2012	Three months ended December 31, 2011	Three months ended September 30, 2011
Revenues	\$ 20,791	\$ 24,693	\$ 24,224	\$ 27,821
Adjusted earnings	\$ 10,069	\$ 15,397	\$ 17,761	\$ 22,769
Adjusted earnings per share				
Basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.18
Diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.18
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (149)	\$ (14,411)	\$ (5,618)	\$ 7,317
Net earnings (loss) per share				
Basic	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.06
Diluted	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.06
Weighted average number of common shares				
Basic	121,338,319	121,816,678	123,581,452	123,443,900
Diluted	122,505,346	123,086,211	125,216,782	125,618,973

Historically, our operating results have fluctuated on a quarterly basis and we expect that quarterly results will continue to fluctuate in the future. The operating results for interim periods should not be relied upon as an indication of the results to be expected or achieved in any future period or any fiscal year as a whole. The factors affecting our revenue and results, many of which are outside of our control, include the factors set out under the heading "Risks and Uncertainties" above which are discussed in greater detail under the heading "Risk Factors" in our AIF, which we urge readers to review, and, include the following:

- competitive conditions in our industry, including strategic initiatives by us, our licensees or their competitors, new products or services or the implementation and take-up of new standards, product or service announcements and changes in pricing policy by us or our licensees;
- market acceptance of our patented technologies;
- our ability to sign license agreements;

- decisions relating to our patents issued pursuant to litigation or administrative proceedings;
- the discretionary nature of purchase and budget cycles of our licensees' customers and changes in their budgets for, and timing of, purchases;
- strategic decisions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments or changes in business strategy;
- general weakening of the economy resulting in a decrease in the overall demand for products and services that infringe our patented technologies or otherwise affecting the capital investment levels of our current and prospective licensees;
- timing of product development and new product initiatives; and
- the length and variability of the licensing cycles for our patented technologies.

Because our quarterly revenue is dependent upon a relatively small number of transactions, even minor variations in the rate and timing of payment of royalties could cause us to plan or budget inaccurately, and those variations could adversely affect our operating results. Delays or reductions in the amounts of royalty payments would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

CAPITAL AND LIQUIDITY

Cash and cash equivalents, and short-term investments amounted to \$159,291 at June 30, 2013, representing a decrease of \$17,572 from the \$176,863 held at December 31, 2012. The decrease is primarily attributable to \$1,805 cash utilized in operations, the payment of dividends totaling \$9,101, the repurchase of common shares totaling \$2,912, and patent and other intangible acquisitions totaling \$3,015.

At June 30, 2013 we had working capital of \$118,817, long-term success fee obligation of \$8,974 and patent and licensing rights finance obligations of \$14,368 relating to deferred payment terms on patents we acquired during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and licensing rights we acquired during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

We have a revolving credit facility available in the amount of CDN\$8,000 or the equivalent in U.S. dollars for general corporate purposes and a further CDN\$2,000 for foreign exchange facility. Canadian dollar or U.S. dollar amounts advanced under this credit facility are payable on demand and bear interest at the bank's Canadian prime rate plus 1.0% per annum or U.S. base rate plus 1.0% per annum. Borrowings under this facility are collateralized by a general security agreement over our cash and cash equivalents, receivables and present and future personal property. As at and during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we had no borrowings under this facility.

On March 7, 2013, we received regulatory approval to make a normal course issuer bid ("NCIB") through the facilities of the Toronto Stock Exchange. Under the NCIB, we are permitted to purchase up to 11,846,843 common shares. The NCIB commenced on March 11, 2013 and is to be completed on March 10, 2014. We repurchased 565,100 common shares under the NCIB during the six months ended June 30, 2013 for a total of \$2,912.

We plan to use our cash resources to fund our operations and any litigation that might be required, and to purchase additional high quality patent portfolios and patent licensing businesses that are identified and fit our value proposition and strategic objectives.

Our ability to generate cash from operations going forward is based on collecting royalties under our signed licenses and additional licensing of our patent portfolio to companies around the world. It is difficult to predict the timing and nature of future licenses.

We plan to finance our cash requirements for operating expenses, litigation costs and technology acquisitions by a combination of cash generated from licensing our patent portfolio and, if desirable based on market conditions, by selling common shares and debt securities to the public.

OUTSTANDING COMMON SHARE DATA

We are authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares, 6,350.9 special preferred, redeemable, retractable, non-voting shares and an unlimited number of preferred shares, issuable in series. As at June 30, 2013, there were 121,071,516 common shares and no special or preferred shares issued and outstanding. We also maintain a Share Option Plan, an Employee Share Purchase Plan and a Deferred Stock Unit Plan. Under all these plans, we can issue a maximum of 10% of our issued and outstanding common shares from time to time which was, as at June 30, 2013, 12,107,152 common shares combined. As at June 30, 2013, we had 9,738,999 options outstanding and 84,240 deferred stock units outstanding.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES, INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION OF POLICIES, AND CRITICAL ESTIMATES

Our management is required to make judgments, assumptions and estimates in applying our accounting policies and practices which have a significant impact on our financial results. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 of our audited financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2012. A discussion of our critical accounting policies, and the estimates related to them, are included in our Annual MD&A. Except as outlined below, there have been no material changes in our existing critical accounting policies from the disclosures included in our audited financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2012 and our Annual MD&A. Please refer to Note 2, "Basis of Presentation," in the Notes to Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements related to new accounting pronouncements.

Patents and Other Intangibles

We have determined that there were no indications of possible impairment during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Goodwill

We have determined that there were no indications of possible impairment during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

In conformance with National Instrument 52-109 – Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings of the Canadian Securities Administrators, we have filed certificates signed by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer that, among other things, deal with the matter of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2013, and based on our evaluation has concluded that these are effective.

The evaluation took into consideration our corporate disclosure policy and the functioning of our executive officers, Board and Board Committees. In addition, our evaluation covered our processes, systems and capabilities relating to regulatory filings, public disclosures and the identification and communication of material information.

Critical accounting estimates are defined as estimates that are very important to the portrayal of our financial position and operating results and require management to make judgments based on underlying assumptions about future events and their effects.

These underlying assumptions are based on historical experience and other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances and are subject to change as events occur, as additional information is obtained and as the environment in which we operate changes.

MANAGEMENT’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Because of its inherent limitations, however, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.

Our management evaluated, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as at June 30, 2013. We based our evaluation on criteria established in “Internal Control over Financial Reporting – Guidance for Smaller Public Companies” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) and, based on that evaluation, we have concluded that, as of June 30, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

There have been no changes in our “internal control over financial reporting” that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2013 which have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the internal control over financial reporting.

Wi-LAN Inc.
11 Holland Avenue, Suite 608
Ottawa, ON Canada
K1Y 4S1

Tel: 1.613.688.4900
Fax: 1.613.688.4894
www.wilan.com

