



USPTO
CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT
600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 - Fax 571-273-9900

FAX

To: Ozzie Fares	From:
<hr/>	
Att:	Pages: 6 pages (Included coversheet)
<hr/>	
Fax: (202) 778-2201	Date: 4/26/2010
<hr/>	
Phone:	Phone:
<hr/>	
Re: 95/001,299	Fax:
<hr/>	

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

● **Comments:** Courtesy Copy



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY

Ozzie A Farres
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
1900 K STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON DC 20006-1109

(For Patent Owner)

MAILED
APR 21 2010
CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

Tracy W Druce
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP
(NDQ REEXAMINATION GROUP)
1000 LOUISIANA STREET
FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR
HOUSTON, TX 77002

(For Third Party
Requester)

In re: Arviv et alia
Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding
Control No. 95/001,299
Deposited on: 21 January 2010
For: US Patent No. 6,549,759 B2

DECISION
GRANT-IN-PART
PETITION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME
[37 CFR §§ 1.956 & 1.181]

This is a decision on the 07 April 2010, "Petition for Extension of Time Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.956" requesting that the time for responding to the non-final Office action mailed 26 February 2010, be extended by one (1) month. The petition was timely filed with certificate of service.

The petition is before the Director of the Central Reexamination Unit for consideration.

The petition is granted-in-part for the reasons set forth below.

DISCUSSION

The Patent Owner's representative requests the period of time be extended in which to file a response to the non-final Office action mailed 26 February 2010, which set two (2) months time for filing a response thereto. The renewed petition for extension of time was timely filed on 07 April 2010, together with certificate of service.

The petition supports a twenty-one (21) day extension of time.

The petition is granted-in-part for the reasons set forth below.

37 CFR § 1.956. Patent owner extensions of time in inter partes reexamination.
The time for taking any action by a patent owner in an inter partes reexamination proceeding will be extended only for sufficient cause and for a reasonable time specified. Any request for such extension must be filed on or before the day on which action by the patent owner is due, but in no case will the mere filing of a request effect any extension. Any request for such extension must be accompanied by the petition set forth in § 1.17(g). See § 1.304(a) for extensions of time for filing a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Addressing the requirement of 37 CFR § 1.956 to make a showing of "sufficient cause" to grant an extension request, MPEP § 2665 states, in pertinent part:

As noted above, a request for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.956 will be granted only for sufficient cause, ...

Evaluation of whether "sufficient cause" has been shown for an extension must be made by **balancing** the desire to provide the patent owner with a fair opportunity to respond, **against** the requirement of the statute, 35 U.S.C. § 314(c), that the proceedings be conducted with special dispatch. ...

Any petition request must include the required petition fee as set forth according to 37 CFR § 1.17 (g) and 37 CFR § 1.956.

MPEP § 2665 Extension of Time for Patent Owner Response (in-part)

Requests for an extension of time in an inter partes reexamination proceeding will be

considered only after the first Office action on the merits in the reexamination is mailed. Any request for an extension of time filed prior to the first action will be denied.

The certificate of mailing and the certificate of transmission procedures (37 CFR § 1.8), and the "Express Mail" mailing procedure (37 CFR § 1.10), may be used to file a request for extension of time, as well as any other paper in an existing *inter partes* reexamination proceeding (see MPEP § 2666).

As noted above, a request for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.956 will be granted only for sufficient cause, and the request must be filed on or before the day on which action by the patent owner is due. In no case, will the mere filing of a request for extension of time automatically effect any extension, because the showing of cause may be insufficient or incomplete. In the prosecution of an *ex parte* reexamination, an automatic 1-month extension of time to take further action is granted upon filing a first timely response to a final Office action (see MPEP § 2272). The automatic extension given in *ex parte* reexamination does not apply to the first response to an Action Closing Prosecution (ACP) in an *inter partes* reexamination. The reason is that in *inter partes* reexamination, parties do not file an appeal in response to an ACP, and a further Office action (Right of Appeal Notice) will issue even if the parties make no response at all. Thus, there is no time period to appeal running against the parties after the ACP is issued, unlike *ex parte* reexamination where an appeal is due after final rejection and the time is thus automatically extended one month to provide time for the patent owner to review the Office's response to the amendment before deciding whether to appeal.

Evaluation of whether "sufficient cause" has been shown for an extension must be made by balancing the desire to provide the patent owner with a fair opportunity to respond, against the requirement of the statute, 35 U.S.C. § 314(c), that the proceedings be conducted with special dispatch.

Any request for an extension of time in a reexamination proceeding must fully state the reasons therefor. The reasons must include (A) a statement of what action the patent owner has taken to provide a response, to date as of the date the request for extension is submitted, and (B) why, in spite of the action taken thus far, the requested additional time is needed. The statement of (A) must provide a factual accounting of reasonably diligent behavior by all those responsible for preparing a response to the outstanding Office action within the statutory time period.

Prosecution will be conducted by initially setting a time period of at least 30 days or one month (whichever is longer), see MPEP § 2662. First requests for extensions of these time periods will be granted for sufficient cause, and for a reasonable time specified-usually 1 month. The reasons stated in the request will be evaluated, and the request will be favorably considered where there is a factual accounting of reasonably diligent behavior by all those responsible for preparing a response or comments

within the statutory time period. Second or subsequent requests for extensions of time, or requests for more than one month, will be granted only in extraordinary situations.

...

DECISION

The patent owner's representative petitions under 37 CFR § 1.956 to extend the period for response by adding one (1) month to the period for response. The decision to extend the period for response is evaluated based upon a showing of "sufficient cause." There is always the consideration to balance the need for the patent owner to have a fair opportunity to respond to the Office action between the need for special dispatch.

The petition notes delay in the patent owner seeking counsel to represent the patent owner which is unfortunate but does not support "sufficient cause" for the purpose of extension of time in reexamination proceedings. The petitioner further notes the mailing of the Office action close to the Order granting reexamination. The petitioner should note that this practice is outlined in the MPEP for the purpose of special dispatch and does not support "sufficient cause" for the purpose of extension of time. The petitioner additionally notes a technical expert is preparing a declaration which requires three weeks additional time. On balance, there is "sufficient cause" to grant-in-part the petition for extension of time.

On balance there is "sufficient cause" to grant twenty-one (21) days extension of time.

The petition request to extend the response time is hereby granted-in-part.

CONCLUSION

1. The patent owner's petition for extension for time is hereby granted-in-part.
2. The time for response is extended by twenty-one (21) days.
2. The Patent Owner's response is due 17 May 2010.
3. All correspondence involving this proceeding may be addressed to the following:

By Mail to: Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam
Central Reexamination Unit
Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent & Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By Fax to: (571) 273-9900
Central Reexamination Unit

By Hand: Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By EFS: Registered users of EFS-Web may alternatively submit such correspondence via the electronic filing system EFS-Web, at <https://sportal.uspto.gov/authenticate/authenticateuserlocalepf.html>. EFS-Web offers the benefit of quick submission to the particular area of the Office that needs to act on the correspondence. Also, EFS-Web submissions are “soft scanned” (i.e., electronically uploaded) directly into the official file for the reexamination proceeding, which offers parties the opportunity to review the content of their submissions after the “soft scanning” process is complete.

4. Telephone inquiries with regard to this decision should be directed to Mark Reinhart, at (571) 272-1611, in the absence of Mark Reinhart calls may be directed to Eric Keasel, at (571) 272-4929, or Jessica Harrison, at (571) 272-4449, all are Supervisory Patent Examiners in the Central Reexamination Unit, Art Unit 3992.

/Mark Reinhart/

Mark Reinhart,
Supervisory Patent Examiner,
AU 3992,
Central Reexamination Unit
571-272-1611
