

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WI-LAN INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RESEARCH IN MOTION CORPORATION;
RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD.; MOTOROLA,
INC.; UTSTARCOM, INC.; LG ELECTRONICS
MOBILE COMM U.S.A.; AND LG
ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 10cv859-W (CAB)

**ORDER ON QUALCOMM, INC.’S
MOTION TO STRIKE AND OBJECTIONS
TO WI-LAN’S REPLY MEMORANDUM
AND DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF
ITS MOTION TO COMPEL**

[Doc. No. 17.]

On June 11, 2010, the Court set a briefing schedule for Wi-Lan Inc. to file a motion to compel and third party Qualcomm, Inc. to file a motion to quash and for protective order. [Doc. No. 8.] Both motions were set for a telephonic discovery hearing on June 30, 2010. [Id.] On June 28, 2010, Wi-Lan filed a Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of its Motion to Compel. [Doc. No. 16.] That same day, Qualcomm filed a Motion to Strike and Objections to Wi-Lan’s reply memorandum and declarations. [Doc. No. 17]. In its Motion, Qualcomm requested that the court strike the reply memorandum and declarations or allow Qualcomm to file a responsive pleading. On June 29, 2010, Wi-Lan filed an opposition to Qualcomm’s Motion to Strike and Objections in which Wi-Lan stated that it did not oppose continuing the hearing on the pending discovery motions to allow Qualcomm to respond to its reply memorandum.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Based on the foregoing, the Court orders the following:

1. Qualcomm shall file any response to Wi-Lan's reply memorandum and declarations on or before **July 2, 2010**.

2. The hearing on the pending discovery motions [Doc. Nos. 9 & 10] shall now be held on **July 20, 2010**, at **2:00 p.m.** in Courtroom E of the above-entitled court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 29, 2010



CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO
United States Magistrate Judge